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## Foreword

## A step back in time...

It was March 1982, and I had just experienced my first true disappointment in chess. I had played a scholastic tournament where I had scored a not overwhelming 3 points in 7 rounds. That, however, was not the disappointment. My brother Bent, who was three years younger, had scored the same amount of points in my group and he had won a prize while I hadn't.

I wouldn't say that this urged me to take immediate action, but nevertheless something had to be done. Therefore, once the summer vacation hit, I decided to study chess. Not any chess, but specifically I resolved to play through all the games I could find that started with 1.c4. The reason for this choice was that one year earlier I had won a copy of the Danish translation of Raymond Keene's book on the 1978 World Championship match, where the World Champion, Anatoly Karpov, had won a very narrow victory against the challenger, Viktor Kortchnoi. Kortchnoi, the underdog, was a fighter. This appealed to me, and he played 1.c4.

In the next two weeks, I skimmed through decades' worth of Danish chess magazines and played over hundreds of games with 1.c4. Not having played any rated game yet, and without any clear understanding of what I was looking at, some ideas and concepts must have stuck in my head. At the very next occasion, in August 1982, I won my very first tournament with $7 / 7$ and brought home a trophy. The key opening, of course, had been 1.c4, in which I had suddenly found new confidence. Later the same year, I played in my first adult tournament, where I scored $3 / 7$ once again but against players with an average of slightly over 1600. Two of the full points were scored with white in the English Opening. Since then, the English Opening has featured prominently in my opening repertoire.

## A leap forward - 1998

Having just finished writing my first book, The Sicilian Accelerated Dragon, co-authored with Peter Heine Nielsen, I felt the itch to write another book. Graham Burgess, who had commissioned the above book, had moved on to found Gambit Publications with Murray Chandler and John Nunn, and when I reached out to them with some book ideas they were
interested. Eventually we decided that I should write about the English Opening. The result was two books: The Gambit Guide to the English Opening: 1...e5 (1999) and The Symmetrical English (2000). In the first book I employed a novel approach to presenting an opening. In addition to the standard theoretical presentation, it included an introduction to the plans and ideas covered in each chapter. This was well received. In fact, countless times since the publication of that book, people have told me that they loved this particular feature of it, asking me when I was going to write a new edition of the book. The book on the Symmetrical English didn't use the same format - I don't recall exactly why, possibly because Gambit had abandoned the concept. The result was therefore a more traditional opening book with plenty of analysis, some of it rather groundbreaking.

While I'm still immensely happy with the work I did back then, the books are theoretically well past their expiration date from the viewpoint of opening theory.

## This is not a book on opening theory

While I think there is need for an update of the general works on the theory of the English Opening, this book is not such an update. While many types of books on the English Opening have been published since mine came out back in 1999-2000, I feel there is a need for a book that dives into the concepts that are fundamental for playing the English Opening well. Therefore, while this book does contain some game references and some theory, they mainly serve to add to the overall understanding of the opening. Also, I should add that not all variations are covered - that has not been my goal. Rather, I have aimed to cover what I felt was most important for the reader to understand the English Opening.

## The goal of this book

My aim with this book is not to serve you, dear reader, with a predetermined, narrow repertoire. I would like you to close this book with the feeling that you actually understand what ideas lie behind the individual variations, why certain moves are being played, and what the players are aiming for. This is where many opening books, including some of my own, tend to cut corners.

Therefore, once you are done studying the chapters in this book, you will have another job ahead of you. You will have to start selecting variations and putting together a repertoire, but armed with the knowledge you have acquired in this book. This should be both interesting and enjoyable because hopefully you will sit down with the feeling that you are in command of the opening rather than the opening controlling
you. So, while you will not have the opening repertoire of Aronian, Carlsen or some of the countless other grandmasters who regularly play the English Opening, you will have the basic knowledge necessary to understand why they favour certain moves and ideas and what their plans and intentions are.

## Thank yous

No book is ever created in a complete vacuum. Many people have helped pave the road to the final product. First, a big thank you to Allard Hoogland, who reached out to me and initiated the e-mail exchange that led to this book growing from a thought in my head to a reality. Then the amazing team at New In Chess who, through patience and keen understanding, made this book better than I thought possible.

Furthermore, I should mention the late World Championship
Challenger Viktor Kortchnoi for inspiring me to take up this opening, my brother Bent for stimulating me to become better, my parents Anne-Grete and Jørn Erik Hansen, who have supported and encouraged their chesscrazy son, Peter Heine Nielsen for getting me involved in writing about chess instead of just playing it, the boys at Gambit for taking a chance on me writing about this opening, and my better half Stephanie, who has had endless patience when deadlines were creeping uncomfortably close, and who has let me enjoy the freedom to express myself creatively in books.

Carsten Hansen
Bayonne (NJ), May 2018

## CHAPTER 14

## Asymmetrical lines



14.1) 5.g3 ©c6 6. 曽g2 e6 7.0-0 鼻e7
14.2) $5 . \mathrm{d} 4$
14.3) 5.e3
14.4) $5 . \mathrm{e} 4$

The asymmetrical lines are an interesting mix of straight-laced 'normal' lines and crazy ones, where one side gives up the right to castle in order to get a lead in development.

14．1）1．c4 c5 2．©c3 ©f6 3． 0 f 3 d 5 4．cxd5 $0 x d 5$ 5．g3 0 c6 6．累g2 e6 7．0－0 息e7


This is the starting position of the Keres－Parma Variation．It usually （in this case，nearly always）enters the territory of the Catalan／Semi－ Tarrasch．It is far more solid for Black than the normal Tarrasch． 8．d4
The other main line arises after 8． $0 x d 5$ exd5 9．d4 0－0 10．dxc5 罳xc5 and we have a position that strongly resembles the normal Tarrasch but where a set of knights have been exchanged．You would think that this would favour White，as exchanges of this kind usually help to reduce the dynamic potential in Black＇s position，but in this line it appears to limit White＇s opportunities to put pressure on Black＇s isolated d－pawn For starters， White cannot play 置g5 and pin the knight on f6．
Now White has several options：
A）My recommendation in my book on the Symmetrical English was $11 .{ }_{\text {ung }} \mathrm{c} 2$ 2，arguing that this presents Black with the most problems．I still believe this，but
overall Black should be able to equalize：11．．．鼻b6 12．．．d1 h6 13．b3


 Khairullin，Khanty－Mansiysk 2014） and here 20．．．甾ad8 is fine for Black；
B） $11 . \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{d} 7$（this is the best move．The old main line is $11 . .$. f 6 ，which is considerably more difficult for Black to play，e．g．
 $15 . a 4$ a6 16．皆b1 聯d7 17．a5 畧a7 18．b5
 White has a strong initiative and the better chances，Vitiugov－Zhao Xue，Gibraltar 2014）12．M M 4 c2（also 12．${ }^{\text {．}} \mathrm{c} 1$ has been tested，for example：

浸xf3 18． $0 x f 3 \mathrm{~d} 4$ 19．exd4 $0 x d 4$ 20． $\mathrm{D} x \mathrm{x} 4$ 畕xd4 and Black has equalized，Aronian－Radjabov，Dubai


嵈 b2（Kuzubov－Lenic，Slovenia tt 2017）and here White＇s best chance for an advantage is 20 ． 0 f 5 断xe2（or

 25．晶xd7 鼻c5 26.0 xg 7 with an ongoing initiative for White）21．b5
 and White has the somewhat better chances．

## 8．．．0－0

In the normal Semi－Tarrasch，Black usually clears up the centre in the following fashion：8．．．$\triangle x \mathrm{xc} 39 . \mathrm{bxc} 3$ 0－0 10．e4 cxd4 11．cxd4


But it appears that having the bishop on g2（compared to e2／d3 in the normal Semi－Tarrasch）is a significant plus for White，who scores very well in this line：



 and White has the better chances， Cheparinov－Mateo，Dresden 2008；
B）11．．．b6 12．d5 exd5 13．exd5 ©b4

 19．所xa3 and White has a clear advantage，Iturrizaga Bonelli－E． Hansen，Dubai blitz 2014.

## $9 . e 4$

9． Qd x 5 transposes to $8 . ⿹ \mathrm{Xd} 5$ above． 9．．． 2 db 4
Withdrawing the knight to b6 is another option，although it allows White to grab the initiative：9．．．${ }^{\text {b }} 6$ ， and now：
A） $10 . \mathrm{d} 5$ exd5 11．exd5 © b 4 12． Q e1気4（or 12．．．息f6 13．a3 置xc3 14．axb4
 17．断d4 d7 18．鼻f4 with excellent compensation for the sacrificed pawn；White＇s pieces dominate the board，Ghaem Maghami－Adhiban，

Kolkata 2009）13．a3 它a6 14．© c2 c7


鼻xb5 21．h4 畋d722．

Kannappan，Philadelphia 2016） and White is in charge of the game． Note how all of his pieces are on good active squares；
B） $10 . \mathrm{dxc} 5$ 斷 xd 111 ．荲xd1 慁xc5
12．e5 ©c4 13．©a4（or 13．日e1 寞d7



 Black has equalized，Melkumyan－ Gruenfeld，Jerusalem 2015）13．．．畕e7 14．蔂f4 f6 15．

累xd8 22．䓢xe6＋寞xe6 23.0 xe6買b6 and Black has equalized， Ivanchuk－Xu Jun，Shenyang 2000. 10．dxc5
10．d5！？can also be considered， e．g．10．．．exd5 11．exd5 气d4 12．©xd4 cxd4 and now：13． $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{b}$（13．a3 dxc3 $14 . a x b 4$ cxb2 15．息xb2 媘b6 16．鼻d 4
 seen in Janssen－O．Foisor，Belgium tt 2000／01，and now 19．曽a5 兾f6 20．畕xf6 gxf6 21．囬b1 leaves White with the somewhat better chances）


 Ragger，Spain tt 2017；Black has completely equalized．
10．．．鼻xc5 11．e5 置b6
The more common continuation is 11．．．寞e7 12．a3 气d3 13．断e2 0 xc1

 draw was agreed in Ehlvest－Ribli， Reggio Emilia 1991，which seems a little generous on White＇s part，


 24． Qxf6 $^{2}$ gxf6 $25 .{ }^{2} 4 \mathrm{xd} 2$ with an advantageous endgame for White）

 small，but ongoing initiative for White in the late middlegame．


Thanks to his pawn on e5，White has an advantage in space and Black＇s position is rather cramped； a problem that is not easily solved． Rather surprisingly，this position has only been played twice，both times with Anand as Black．

## 12．${ }^{(2) g} 5$

I believe that 12．a3 is the more challenging move for Black to








 while White has the better chances， Black has a good shot at a successful defence）18．．．鼻xg2 19． 20．欮e 4 ？！（White could retain a pleasant edge with 20．思xc5 䰻xc5 21． $\begin{aligned} & \text { ug b } \\ & \mathrm{b} 5 \\ & \text { when Black still has a long }\end{aligned}$ defence ahead of him）20．．．$\triangleq$ g6
誓xc3 24． than enough compensation for the pawn，but it wasn＇t sufficient to tip the game in White＇s favour in Giri－ Anand，St Louis 2016.

## 12．．．宸xd1 13．ㄹ．．axd1 h6

A logical move，kicking White＇s bishop away to gain access to the d8－square and make a＇luft＇for the king．However， $13 . . . \varrho d 5$ ？ is

 a6 and Black is well on his way to untangling）14．．．exd5 15．${ }^{\text {蔦xd5 息e6 }}$ and Black regains the pawn and， with his pieces brought into play， the chances are roughly balanced．

## 14．畕c1

My computer suggested an interesting idea：14．鼻e3，intending

 with some initiative for White，but Black may be able to neutralize White＇s pressure with 19．．． Q $^{\text {c } 4}$

 he isn＇t $100 \%$ out of the woods yet． I，for one，would rather play White in this position than defend it with black．
 17．$勹 x d 5$
White accepts Black＇s pawn sacrifice even if it means heavy simplifications．While White can play undisturbed for a win with the game continuation，I think he would have done better to decline the offer and instead add more pressure on Black＇s position，e．g．by
 White certainly is in charge．But whether it is actually better than the game continuation is an open question．

 22．${ }^{\text {Euxb }} 6$
White has won a pawn，but thanks to the opposite－coloured bishops there is still a high probability of a draw．


And White continued for a while， but in the end a draw was the outcome，Aronian－Anand，Moscow blitz 2016.

## 14．2）1．c4 c5 2． 0 c3 0 f6 3．©f3 d5 4．cxd5 $0 x d 5$ 5．d4



This move looks a little dull because it invites simplifications，but unless you like playing the Grünfeld as Black，it can cause some headaches and even Grünfeld aficionados will not find it easy to equalize in this variation．
5．．． $0 \times x=3$
A） 5 ．．．e6 will take the game to a Semi－Tarrasch with $6 . e 4$ or 6．e3， whereas $6 . g 3$ strictly speaking falls in the same bucket，but it can transpose to the first game in this chapter after $6 . g 3$ c6（or 6．．．cxd4

 Wang Yue，Beijing blitz 2014）and
 0－0 15．b4 鼻d6 16．0－0 is somewhat better for White，albeit not much） 7．鼻g2 畕e7 8．0－0 0－0；
B）Another option is 5 ．．．cxd4
6．铛xd4 Ec 3 （or 6．．．e6 7．e4 exc 3
8．铛xc3 with transposition to the main line）7．謺xc3．


At first glance it may look like White＇s queen is awkwardly placed and will easily become a target for Black＇s pieces．The problem， however，is that it is difficult for Black to get his pieces developed
without creating weaknesses．For instance，how is Black supposed to develop his dark－squared bishop？ He can＇t well play ．．．g7－g6，nor does ．．．e7－e6 solve the problem， because the pawn on 97 needs to be protected before the bishop can move．Until this problem has been solved，Black will not be able to castle．Meanwhile，White＇s pieces have a relatively easy time finding good squares．
The bottom line is that this position is considerably easier to play for White than it is for Black， and unless Black knows what he is doing，things can rapidly go downhill：7．．．包c6（7．．．鼻f5 8．鼻e3
 eliminate the queens but White has a lead in development and an ongoing initiative）8．e4 曽g4（after 8．．．e6 White has to play either 9．鼻b5 or $9 . \mathrm{a} 3$ to address the threat of ．．．畕b4：9．a3 鼻d7 10．鬼e2 皆c8 11．0－0 © 2 12．聯d3 鼻e7 13．崽e3 a6
 initiative for White，Vi．Zakhartsov－ Lalic，Cappelle－la－Grande 2012） 9．冨b5 当c8 10．0－0 a6 11．鼻a4 b5

 16．鼻e3 䙾xf3 17．gxf3 息c5 $18 . f 4$ and White has the initiative and better chances，P．H．Nielsen－Kempinski， Greece tt 2011.

## 6．bxc3 g6

Now we enter the Symmetrical English／Grünfeld Indian grey zone．With 7．e4 we will enter the Modern Main Line of the Grünfeld

Exchange Variation．Unless White has nothing better to do with his time，this should not be an option worth considering，at least from our perspective．


## 7．e3



13．邕fe1 e5 14．dxe5 ©xe5 15．©xe5
 and Black has equalized，Artemiev－ Gelfand，Moscow 2015；

10．寞 e 2 0 c6 11．0－0 0－0 12 ．断b2 cxd4


苞ab8 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Ragger－Giri，Germany Bundesliga 2012／13；
C） $7 . g 3$ 峻a5（the text move keeps the game in the Symmetrical English，whereas 7．．．䔬g7 8．（192 C6 9．鼻e3 0－0 10．0－0 transposes to the Fianchetto Variation of the



 Boros－Gopal，Pardubice 2012）8．鯷d2鼻g7 9．鼻g2 0－0 10．0－0 新a6 11．鼻g5


14．d5（14．e3 is a solid alternative）

（Black should probably have played
 when White is better but Black is still fighting；now things rapidly
 severity of Black＇s situation is demonstrated by the fact that 17．．． f6 $18 . e 6$ 畕a4 is probably Black＇s best chance）18．e6！（now Black＇s position completely collapses）18．．．
 21．©h4 鼻xc3 22．©xf5 gxf5 23．䔬d5＋ and Black resigned in Mecking－ Lupulescu，Lodi 2006.
7．．．${ }^{\text {是g7 }}$


So why is this position a problem for Black when the Exchange Variation of the Grünfeld is considered a solid option，offering him just about equal chances？With a pawn on e3 instead of e4，White＇s centre is better supported and less susceptible to pressure from Black＇s pieces．White can then at a more opportune time push the e－pawn forward．With limited options for Black to put pressure on White＇s centre，Black＇s counterplay becomes more difficult to generate．This
doesn＇t mean Black is in bad shape －that is by no means the case，but Black has to play more patiently and carefully than he is typically accustomed to in the Grünfeld．

## 8．客b5＋

Also 8．鼻d3 is a reasonable alternative，e．g．8．．．0－0 9．0－0 ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 7$ 10．皆b1 部c7 11．e4 e5 12．d5 c4 13．鼻e2 a6（Ruck－Vidit，Hungary tt 2017／18） and here 14．a4，intending 罳a3， looks promising for White．
8．．． 0 d7
On 8．．．（1）d7，White has a couple of options：



 position that is very close to equal， but White has the opportunity to play for more，D．Fridman－Seel， Netherlands tt 2017／18；
B）9．曽d3 0－0 10．0－0 鼻c6 11．嵈 512 ． enters a position resembling a regular Grünfeld Indian，but Black＇s pieces are placed in a way that is very different from most lines in the actual Grünfeld）13．．．${ }_{\text {品ac8 }}$
 （Black decides the pawn is too good to pass up，but this is where the problems seriously start．Instead， the computer＇s suggestion 15．．．c4
 called＇slightly better for White’ but Black＇s position isn＇t easy to play whereas White can improve his position without too much effort） 16．崽h6 c4？！（Black should have
 ©b6，sacrificing the exchange， hoping to stave off White＇s initiative）17．息e2 紕fe8 18．畧f6 19．${ }^{(2 x b 7}$ and White has a large advantage，Xu Yinglun－Alekseenko， Moscow 2017.

## 9．a4 0－0 10．0－0 b6 11．a5

Building the pressure against Black＇s queenside．The alternative 11．畕a3 requires precision from Black as well，but allows him more time to breathe，for instance：11．．．${ }^{\text {Q }}$ b7 12．a5


 and Black has neutralized White＇s pressure against the queenside， Bologan－Svidler，Tromsø 2013.


## 11．．．寞b7 12．a6！

Closing the queenside may seem like an odd choice，but it wins the bishop pair，which is not a deciding factor in the overall picture but it makes it more difficult for Black to create worthwhile counterplay． In contrast，12．axb6 is entirely harmless for Black，e．g．12．．．axb6
 16．寞f1 e4 17． 19．䓢b2 e5 20．．ea1 b5 and Black has a
comfortable position，Ki．Georgiev－ Krasenkow，Leon rapid 2010.




## 17．．．c4？！

This is rarely a good idea，but here it＇s a sign that Black has lost his patience．He wants to grab control over the light squares and then push ．．．e7－e5．Here，this concept of restraining the white bishop pair is less than fortunate because it releases the pressure against White＇s centre．Instead，17．．．彎c7 18．囬ac1 e6 $19 . e 4$ is playable and only marginally better for White．
18．暻c2 f5 19．宴a3
Now e3－e4 looms and Black，thanks to his ．．．c5－c4 pawn push，has zero counterplay．White takes his time．

## 19．．．響d7 20．f3 e5？？

Total panic．Possibly realizing his mistake of a few moves ago and now facing White building a broad centre，Black forces an opening of the position that his pieces cannot support．Instead 20．．．${ }^{\text {anfd }} \mathrm{fd} 21 . \mathrm{e} 4$ ©b5 22．寞b2 fxe4 23．fxe4 e5 24．d5 0 d6 keeps the position closed and keeps Black，at least somewhat，in the game．

##  

The problem for Black is that he cannot mobilize a defence of the knight on d6 and nor can he move it，e．g．24．．．$\searrow$ f7 25．鼻a4 and White crashes through．

## 25．響d2 0 f7 26．

More accurate was 26．寞a4，bringing the bishop to the party．
罗h6 29．累f8＋氰g5 30．h4＋
And Black resigned in Korobov－ Volokitin，Rivne 2016，before he would get mated．

14．3）1．c4 c5 2．थc3 2 f 63.0 D 3 d 5 4．cxd5 exd5 5．e3 $^{2}$


In this line，as we will see，White has the option to switch into the variation we looked at in the previous game．
5．．． $0 x$ x 3
Black can also consider 5．．．e6，after which $6 . \mathrm{d} 4$ will take the game to a Semi－Tarrasch，which we will not discuss at this point．However， there are some additional ideas that we will touch upon now：
A）6．鼻c4 4 c6 7．0－0 寞e78．溦e2 0－0 9．．${ }^{\text {ed }} \mathrm{d} 1$（with 9．d4，play heads
into a Semi－Tarrasch）9．．．ضb6 10．寞b5 崽d7 11．d4 cxd4 12．exd4
 $15 . a 34$ d5 and White has some initiative to counterbalance the isolated pawn，Eljanov－Harikrishna， Wijk aan Zee 2017；
息c6 8．0－0 鼻e7 9．0e5 0－0 10．d4
 13． $0 x d 5$ exd5 14 ．数b3 崽f6（Meier－ Liang，St Louis 2017）where White has some initiative but Black should be able to hold the balance）


 16．d5 with a strong initiative and clearly better chances for White， Malakhov－Beliavsky，Croatia tt 2017；

 0－0 11．d4 亶d8（Rakhmanov－Erdös， Spain tt 2017）and now 12．鼻d3 部h5 13．皆c1 © C 4 14．置b1 promises White some initiative）7．b4！？


This move，and the idea behind it， is easily one of the coolest gambit ideas in recent history．7．．．cxb4 （or 7．．．c4 8．寞b2 思xb4 9．崽xg7 囬g8


鼻e7 13．0－0 h5 14．d3 h4 15．鼻f4 d4 with a messy position and chances for both sides，Nepomniachtchi－ Harikrishna，Wijk aan Zee 2017）
 tried，to reduce the range of the bishop on b2，but such a move also weakens dozens of squares：9．${ }^{\text {龟c1 }}$


 and White has compensation for the pawn but not more than that， Anton Guijarro－Kasimdzhanov， Riyadh blitz 2017）9．皆c1 包f6？
 （White can play more precisely
 14．嘛f3 with massive positional compensation for the pawn） 12 ．．．皆c8

 the limited material，Black is in serious trouble：his king is unsafe， White is way ahead in development and Black＇s pawn structure is a total mess，Kuzubov－Schreiner， Hersonissos 2017.

## 6．bxc3

This looks like the only natural move，but in recent years the lame－ looking 6．dxc3

 good results been used against black players eager to play a Grünfeld Indian set－up；even World Champion Carlsen jumped on the bandwagon．Black shouldn＇t have a problem facing this line．The idea is that Black enters a pawn structure where the pawn on c5 is a weakness． It is similar to a line we will look at in a later chapter：1．c4 0 f6 2． $\mathrm{Qc}_{\mathrm{c}}$

 would never want to play ．．．c7－c5．A small，but important finesse． Erdös－Nepomniachtchi， Hersonissos 2017，continued 7．．． b6 8．崽b5＋䓢d79．a4 a6 10．鼻xd7＋

 a small positional plus White duly converted into a full point，

## 6．．．g6 7．h4

 $0-0$ can be played and now with 10．d4 White entered a line that could have been part of the coverage in our previous main game．10．．．彎c7

铛 d 7 with very near equality，Anton Guijarro－Gelfand，Gibraltar 2017.


## 7．．．${ }^{\text {曷g7 }}$

If Black is concerned about White playing h4－h5，then 7．．．h6 can be



 resembles something we would have seen in our previous main game， were it not for White＇s odd－looking pawn on h4，Yilmaz－Tari，Baku 2016.

## 8．h5

So what＇s the point behind this pawn advance？For starters，it takes Black out of the book and out of his comfort zone．Black can＇t just go ahead and castle kingside，because
 in immediate danger of getting mated．Therefore，Black has to do something until it is safe to castle． White will typically never push the pawn to h6 because this closes the kingside and leaves the pawn somewhat vulnerable．
8．．． 0 c6 9．䙾 a ！？
Another caveman－looking move is 9．新b3，intending 0 g 5 ，for
 （but 10．曽a3 b6 11．鼻b5 is probably
 13．鼻c4 with a position that doesn＇t resemble something that would be played between strong players）
 13．h6（or 13．璐d1！？0－0－0 with a complicated battle ahead）13．．．鼻f8




a complicated and fascinating endgame，Indjic－Baron，Baku 2016. 9．．．逪 a 5 10．


This move protects the bishop
 12．${ }^{\text {品 }} \mathrm{b} 1$ traps the queen．
10．．．鼻d7 11．写b3
White can also consider 11．䍙a 4

 compensation for the exchange．

## 11．．．0－0？！

Black dares White to eat the poisoned pawn，which indeed looks risky．However，the solid $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 8$ is likely best：12．畕e2 䚁f6 13 ． when Black shouldn＇t be worse．

## 12．hxg6 hxg6 13．咢xb7！㗊fd8

 14．桨a6 置xc3？！I＇m not sure why Black decided to go for this since he isn＇t actually winning back the sacrificed pawn．Instead，14．．．寞f6 15．䜌xa5
 Black with a decent measure of compensation for the pawn．

## 15．紧xa5 崽xa5 16．寞x $x$

And White is a clear pawn up without any relevant compensation for Black，Aronian－ Nepomniachtchi，St Louis 2017.
 4．cxd5
This is one of the crazier lines of the Symmetrical English．A calmer alternative is 5 ．．． Uxc $^{2} 6 . \mathrm{dxc} 3$（or 6．bxc3，which resembles the lines we have discussed earlier in this chapter，except now the white pawn is on e4 instead of e3，e．g．6．．．g6 7．h4

楮xe5 14．0－0 嵈f6（Siebrecht－Seel， Bonn 2011）and here 15．h5 promises White a good game）6．．．㟶xd1＋


 16．量h4 ©e5 17．鼻g3 鼻c6 18．f3 with a small positional plus like we have seen in similar pawn structures in this chapter，Blomqvist－Kantans， Stockholm 2016.


## 6．鼻c4！？

A more solid and，frankly，boring line where White cannot expect


 $0-0$ ！（ Black is okay after $11 . . .0 x d 4$ 12．是xd4 鼻xb5 13．白xg7 鼻xf1


14．黾xd7 鼻xc3 15．bxc3 and Black resigned when he realized that
 saving the piece，A．Mastrovasilis－ Timofeev，Legnica 2013） $7 . \mathrm{d} 4$（7．d3 a6 8．鼻xc6＋©xc6 9．兾e3 e5 10．曾c1 b6 11．b4 匂b4 12．包xe5 睼d6 13．a3国xe5 $14 . \mathrm{axb} 4 \mathrm{cxb} 415 . \triangleq \mathrm{D} 50-0$

 wonderfully unbalanced endgame where both sides have chances， Grischuk－Svidler，Stavanger 2014） 7．．．cxd4 8．a3 dxc3 9．山wxd8＋（or

 14．© C 3 g 5 15．gel 0－0－0 and White doesn＇t have enough for the pawn， Ghaem Maghami－Wagner，Berlin



 is difficult to assess，A．Zhigalko－ Krasenkow，Warsaw 2015）13．．．exb4
 17．鼻d4 fxe5 18 ．賭xa7 e6 19．．exb7
 22．${ }^{\text {U2 }} \mathrm{xd} 7+1 / 2-1 / 2 \mathrm{Tal}$－Timman， Montpellier 1985.
6．．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ d＋
Another bizarre move is 6．．．寔e6， which hasn＇t been particularly popular because White has had good results against it．However， my computer thinks it is playable， and the Indian grandmaster Gopal has，in fact，tried it out a couple
 9． 0 g 5 楮b6 10 ．峌f c 4 ？（（this is the
main line，but the better choice
 Ac6 13．書b5 b6 and Black has some compensation for the missing pawn）11．b3 h6 12．bxc4 De5 13．祘h3 and White was already winning in Elwert－Trapl，Denmark corr 1998.

## 

When I wrote my book on the
 was considered inaccurate，but in the light of what＇s going on in the main line，this may actually prove to be the better option．Black is far behind in development and while White has only given up the right to castle，yet my computer calls this line equal．Needless to say，Black needs to be careful：8．${ }^{\text {Exc1 a6 }} 9 . \mathrm{d} 4$ b5！？10．鼻d5 ${ }^{\text {eax }} 7$（this seems to run contrary to my statement above， but in three top games with this line，Black has scored a healthy 2.5 points！）11．dxc5 e6 12．c6 b4 13．宸d4 党c7？！（13．．．獃e7！）14．©a4 exd5

 19．㟶xf6 and White is completely winning，yet Giri couldn＇t find the way and ended up settling for a disappointing draw，Giri－ Harikrishna，Wijk aan Zee 2017.

 10．\＃xcl when White has a solid lead in development，yet here too Black may be okay，e．g．10．．．e6 11．h4 ©c6 （11．．．a6 12．e5 气c6 13．苞h3 b5 14．鼻d3

 played in Aronian－So，London 2016，
and now 20．a4！？seems to promise White the better chances） $12 . \mathrm{e} 5$
 a6 16．賭a4 0－0－0 17．鼻b3 géb8 and Black had comfortably equalized in Erdös－Svidler，Germany Bundesliga 2016／17．


## 9．b4！？

This variation has been called the ＇Drunken Evans Gambit＇by Nigel Short．It is White＇s sharpest attempt at an advantage．In return for his pawn White gets a strong centre and a lead in development，and Black＇s entire set－up is very passive． Playing Black in this line requires precision and patient defence whereas White basically develops his pieces actively and then starts shaking the tree．



 Vachier－Lagrave，Tbilisi 2017）and now 19．嵲c2 c4 20．a4 \＃c8 21．axb5
 have offered White good chances of an advantage；
B） $9 . \varrho$ e 5 has been tested numerous times－Black appears to

 13．鼻d5 媘b6 14．鼻xe6 fxe6 $15 . \mathrm{d} 3$

 and Black has neutralized White＇s pressure，Moradiabadi－Anastasian， Abu Dhabi 2007）10． $0 x$ xc6 bxc6

 16．数xc6＋氰f8 17．断a6 包d4 18．©xd4崽xd4，Nakamura－Giri，Wijk aan Zee 2014，and here 19．h4 h5 20． $\begin{gathered}\text { Me2 } \\ \text { 2 }\end{gathered}$ gives White good chances；Black has decent compensation but I doubt if it is sufficient for two pawns．
9．．．cxb4
An interesting alternative is 9．．．g6－ a countergambit of sorts．I analysed this variation thoroughly in my book on the Symmetrical English and as a result the variation took a bit of a hit．10．bxc5 睍g7 and now： A）11．宦xe6 崽xe6 12．d4 © 6
所a5 15．． E c1 0－0－0，here 16． 0 b1！？was the novelty I offered，which came in handy a couple of years later： 16．．．数a6 17．d5 gave White a large advantage in Vallejo Pons－Leko， Linares 2003）14．© e 1 0－0－0 15． Q e 2
 incredibly sharp position where both sides have chances，Garriga Cazorla－Gopal，Gibraltar 2017；

©ed4 14． $0 x d 4$ 崽xd4 $15 . \mathrm{h} 4$ h5




are completely even，Jakovenko－
Nepomniachtchi，Kazan 2014.
10． 0 d5
The old main line is 10.0 e 2 ， preparing d2－d4．Now 10．．． Dc5 $^{\text {c }}$


 gave Black the upper hand，Giri－ Kasimdzhanov，Zug 2013）11．．．
包xb2 15．聯xb2 exd5 16．畧xd5 気c6 17．党d1 $\begin{aligned} & \text { une } \\ & \text { en }\end{aligned}$ with a sharp position and mutual chances，Tihonov－ Jakubowski，Bialystok 2017. 10．．．g6 11．d4 畕g7 12．息e3 0 13．栬c1 畕d7


## 14．啠 d2

In another fairly recent game， White interjected $14 . \mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{~h} 5$ before developing the queen，and it too worked well for White： 15 ．欮d2
罯fe8 gives Black better chances of keeping control although White has ample compensation）17．．象g2 b3？！18．铛d3 罠b8（Black should have played 18．．．bxa2 although 19．．${ }^{\text {ma1 }}$
 22．${ }^{\text {⿷匚⿳ }} \mathrm{d}$ d 5 is clearly better for White） 19．畕xb3 0－0？was played in Berczes－ Xiong，Dallas 2016，and now

20．皆xc6！bxc6 21．©xe7＋\％
 for Black．
14．．．皆 a 5 15．h4 륻8
15．．．h5 transposes to Berczes－Xiong in the previous note．



Black is trying to generate some counterplay because otherwise
his position will soon become completely untenable．

This is one of the cooler ways of castling by hand．White，by the way， is clearly better．

## 20．．．ㄹ．． d 8

It is difficult to come up with any user－friendly suggestions for Black because it seems that no matter what he tries，he heads straight into a wall of fire．For instance：20．．．gxh4

 26． D 7！and Black is busted．
21．hxg5 hxg5 22．思xg5 0 xg5
崽h6 26． 2 g5！鼻xg5 27．㘳xg5 1－0 Aronian－Topalov，London 2015.

## Conclusion

It is interesting to see how the theory has developed in these lines over just the last few years．In Variation 14．1，which is a version of the Semi－ Tarrasch that resides on English Opening real estate，it seems that Black should be able to equalize fully if properly prepared．That being said， among non－masters，this particular line is a rarity．

Variation 14．2，5．d4，ends up looking like a Grünfeld，but here White has a reinforced centre with his pawn on e3 instead of e4，allowing Black much less of the typical counterplay．Theoretically，Black should be okay， but the consistent use by top GMs indicates that White has a decent shot at making more out of this patient set－up than it may first appear．

Variation 14.3 has become a pet line of Aronian，who has infused it with a lot of very creative ideas to challenge the current conventions of opening play；our main line is a great example．It can easily be subject to a lot more investigation in years to come，and it allows for creative play by both sides．

Finally，in 14．4，Black for the biggest part seems to have become more reluctant to defend the main line and has instead adopted the approach that exchanging on c1 is best．While Black is frequently successful in neutralizing White＇s initiative，it looks quite threatening initially．I suspect that White will be able to find ways to increase the pressure and Black will need to seek alternatives in other set－ups．

## Exercises

Exercise 14.1


Doesn't White simply win a pawn after 13.dxc5 ? Please explain how you think Black can attempt to claim compensation or win it back. (Solution on page 428)

Exercise 14.2


Outline a plan forward for White. (Solution on page 428)

